## **Executive Order No. 17 Local Government Mandate Evaluation Impact on Local Government and Property Taxpayers**

Submitting Agency:	: Hudson River – Black	k River Regulating District		
NYCRR Citation:	6NYCRR Part 606, se	ctions 606.126 through 606.134		
Description of the F	Regulation: Apportion	nment Grievance Hearing Procedure		
Statutory Authority 15-2121(5)	of for the Regulation: 1	NY ECL Article 15, Title 21, sections 15-2109(1) and		
Agency Contact: (	Glenn A. LaFave, Exec	utive Director		
<b>Telephone:</b> (518) 4	65-3491	Email: hrao@hrbrrd.com		
_	tion impose a mandat hat requires such ent	e on a county, city, town, village, school district or ity to:		
a. Provide or u	ndertake any prograi	m, project or activity;		
	Yes 🗌	No 🖂		
-		program, project or activity (even if such untarily undertaken by a local government unit);		
	Yes 🗌	No 🔀		
•	ew property tax exem existing property tax	ption, or broaden the eligibility or increase the exemption; or		
	Yes 🗌	No 🔀		
d. Carry out a laxes.	legal requirement tha	t would likely have the effect of raising property		
	Yes 🖂	No 🗌		
on local governments Taxpayer Accountab	s and property taxpaye ility are not required.	," ensuring the regulation will not result in a mandate rs, an accounting and the approval of the Office for If the answer to any question above is "yes," and the I governments and property taxpayers, please proceed		
2. Is the mandate required by federal law or regulation or state law?				
	Yes 🖂	No 🗌		

a. If yes, please cite the specific provision in the statute or federal regulation.

The Regulating District's enabling statute requires that the Regulating District Board apportion the costs to operate the Regulating District's facilities, less the amount which may be chargeable to the state, among the public corporations and parcels of real estate benefited by such facilities in proportion to the amount of benefit which shall inure to each, NY ECL §15-2121. The United States Court of Appeals' *Albany Engineering v. FERC* decision, (2008, 548 F.3<sup>rd</sup> 1071), has forced the Regulating District to reapportion most costs from the FERC licensed merchant power plants along the Hudson and Sacandaga Rivers to the public corporations in that area. NY ECL §15-2121 also requires that the Regulating District Board allow persons and public corporations interested in or aggrieved by the Board's apportionment determination to review such determination in the same manner as a review is had of a determination of a board of assessors in making an assessment. The proposed rule establishes a grievance hearing procedure to facilitate the efficient administration of the determination review required by NY ECL §15-2121(5).

b. If yes, please describe any elements of the regulation not specifically mandated by the statute or regulation. There are no elements of the proposed grievance hearing rules not specifically mandated by statute.

3.	-	y portion of the mandate is <u>not</u> required by federal or state law, please attach to Checklist an Accounting for such portion containing:*
	a.	. A description of the mandate in the regulation;
	b	. An accounting of the impacts of such mandate that includes:
		(i) A fiscal impact statement;
		(ii) A cost-benefit analysis, which includes:
		(x) a specific delineation of the costs and benefits to local governments and property taxpayers; and
		<ul><li>(y) a quantification of the impact on local government revenue and expenditures, where such impact is quantifiable based on available information (please consult with the Governor's Office of Regulatory Reform if further guidance is needed);</li></ul>
	c.	A description of input sought and received from affected local governments;
	d	. A description of the proposed revenue sources to fund such mandate; and
	e.	An explanation as to why this regulation should be advanced with a mandate.
	Gove	e: The "Regulatory and Flexibility Analysis for Small Businesses and Local ernments" may be attached so long as the items set forth in 3 above are <u>fully</u> accounted the Analysis.